IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.4492 OF 2006

IN

ADMIRALTY SUIT NO.2 OF 2000

Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. ...Plaintiff

vs.

1.M.V."Jag Prayog" & another. ...Defendants

...

Mr.Rahul Narichania i/b. M/s.Mulla & Mulla & CB & C for Plaintiff.

Mr.Komal Khata i/b. M/s.Motiwala & Co., for Defendants.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH, J.

DATED: 22nd DECEMBER,2006

P.C.:

. By this notice of motion , the plaintiff seeks permission of the Court to file a supplementary affidavit of documents. In short, what the plaintiff wants to do is that he wants to produce additional documents. Admittedly, oral evidence of the plaintiff is being recorded. Leading of evidence by the plaintiff is not over, therefore, there can be no reason for not permitting the plaintiff to produce documents on record. Whether the plaintiff would be able to prove those documents, whether those documents are relevant or not, are all the questions that are to be considered while considering the admissibility of the documents. The notice of motion is therefore, granted in terms of prayer clause (a). Notice of motion is disposed of.